

Appln. No.: 10/719,051
Amendment Dated: March 23, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated December 28, 2006

Remarks/Arguments

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1-32 have been provisional rejected by the Examiner on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over co-pending application No. 10/719,050.

A Terminal Disclaimer is being filed herewith to overcome the double patenting rejection.

In view of the above claims 1-32 as amended are patentable. If the Examiner has any questions would the Examiner call the undersigned at the telephone number noted below.

Respectfully submitted,



Ronald Reichman
Reg. No. 26,796
Attorney of Record
Telephone (203) 924-3854

PITNEY BOWES INC.
Intellectual Property and
Technology Law Department
35 Waterview Drive
P.O. Box 3000
Shelton, CT 06484-8000